

# ABSTRACT

The efficacy and the residual toxicity of spinetoram insecticide wereevaluated in the laboratory at  $26 \pm 2$ °C and  $60 \pm 5$  RH against the adults of three insect species of stored products i.e., the rice weevil *Sitophilusoryzae* (L.), the lesser grain borer *Rhizoperthadominica* (F.) and the red flour beetle *Triboliumcastaneum* (Herbst.).The obtained results showed that, the toxicity of spinetoram to the adults of the three insect species was concentration and exposure period - dependent.

The susceptibility of the insects varied from insect species to another.*R. dominica*adults were the most sensitive species, a complete mortality was achieved at 10ppm of spinetoramafter 7days,followed by *S. oryzae*adults, 100.00% mortality was obtained after14 days of exposure,but *T.castaneum*adults,10 ppm of spinetoram was not sufficient to achieve complete kill for the adults of *T.castaneum* even after the longest exposure periods (14 days).

Meanwhile, complete reduction in F1-progeny of *R. dominica* was observed at the three highest used concentrations (10, 5 and 2.5 ppm) while, the reduction in F1-progeny of *S. oryzae* and *T.castaneum*were 96.5 and 73.1 % at the highest concentration (10 ppm), respectively.

The residual toxicity results of spinetoram showed that, the initial concentration of(10ppm) gave 95.3,100.0 and 71.0 % kill for *S. oryzae*, *R. dominica* and *T. castaneum*, resp. mortality levels remained stable to 2 months for *T. castaneum* and 3 months for *S. oryzae* and *R. dominica* then reduced gradually with the increase of the storage period. The residual effect was high against *R. dominica* adults and extended to 6 months with lowest reduction rate in mortality (27.8 %).

### INTRODUCTION

Many of traditional insecticides, known as grain protectants such as organophosphorous compoundsleaves residues on grains and other products that are of concern for human health, while their extensive use is dangerous for environment (White and Leesch, 1995; Arthur, 1996). In addition, resistance in key stored product insects limited effectiveness of mosttraditional insecticides (Guedes et al., 1996and Nayakaet al., 2005), found that, R. dominica(F.) adults were resistant to cloropyriphos- methylandpirimiphosmethyl, also (El-Lakwah etal., 2004) reported that, the lesser grain borer R. dominica(F.) adults were resistant to both malathion, pirimiphos- methyl. Therefore, alternative insecticides or pest management strategies are urgently needed to replace traditional insecticides.In a laboratory etal.,2002) evaluation, (Subramanyam foundSpinosad,the fermentation product of the bacterium Saccharopolyspora spinosa to be an effective grain protectant on hard red spring, soft red winter and hard red wheat against several stored grain insect species. Spinosad has a unique mode of action on insect nervous system at the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor and GABA receptor cites (Salgado 1997, 1998). Recently, spinetoram is a mixture of chemically modifiedspinosyns (spinosyn J andspinosynL) produced by bacterium Saccharopolyspora spinosa colonies, it has the same mode of action of spinos adand it is active through contact and ingestion (Dripps et al., 2011). It is abroad spectrum insecticide with low mammalian toxicity (Mertz and Yoa 1990). The effectiveness of two formulations of spinetoram, water dispersible granules (WG), and suspension concentrate (SC-NC), against three major stored-grain beetle species, R. dominica, S. oryzae, T. confusumwas evaluated in the laboratory. Adults of the above species were exposed on wheat treated with spinetoram at 1 ppm (1 mg/kg of wheat) for 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 16, 40, and 72 h. After this interval, mortality was recorded (immediate mortality) and the surviving individuals were transferred in untreated wheat, where mortality was recorded again 7 d later (delayed mortality). Then, all adults were removed, and the number of progeny production in the untreated substrate was measured 65 d later. From the species tested, R. dominica was by far the most susceptible, given that immediate and delayed mortality for the 72-h exposure interval reached 44 and 97%, respectively. At the same time, progeny production was low, in most of the exposure intervals tested. In contrast, for S. oryzae, delayed mortality was negligible, with the exception of 72 h at the SC-NC formulation. However, in most of the cases examined, progeny production for S. oryzaewas high. Finally, adult mortality for T. confusum was extremely low, regardless of the exposure interval and the type of the formulation. Nevertheless, offspring emergence of this species was low (Vassilakos, etal., 2012).

The aims of the present study to evaluate the efficacy and residual toxicity of spinetoramto *Sitophilusoryzae* (L.);*Rhizopertha dominica*(F.)and *Triboliumcastaneum*(Herbst.) on infested wheat seeds in the store.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Spinetoram:spinetoram (Radiant 12% SC) is a mixture of(3-O- ethyl 5,6- dihydro spinosyn J and 3- O-ethylspinosyn L),formulation wasobtained from Shoura chemicals com. under license of Dow Agro Sciences UK.

Insects: The rice weevil *S. oryzae* (L.), the lesser grain borer *R. dominica* (F.), and the red flour beetle *T. castaneum* (Herbst.) were used in the experiment.

Grain treatment:Stock solutions of spinetoram were prepared by dispersing the required amount of the insecticide in distilled water.Five ml from each concentration were added to 50g media (sterilized and conditioned wheat kernels in case of the rice weevil and the lesser grain borer or wheat flour in case of the red flour beetle) in jars of about 125 ml volume to achieve 10, 5, 2.5, 1.25, 0.625 and 0.313 ppm concentrations, and left 24 hrs to evaporate the solvent.

Bioassay:Batches of 30 adult insects (1weeks old) were introduced to the jars. Three replicates for each concentration were used; the jars were covered with muslin cloth and fixed with rubber band. All replicates of experiment were kept at  $26 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C and  $60 \pm 5\%$  RH.Mortalities were recorded after 2, 3, 5, 7 and 14 days from treatment. Mortalities were corrected using Abbot's formula (1925). The adult insects were removed from the jars after the preceding holding period.

Number of F1-progeny was inspected after 75 days from treatment and reduction percentages in F1-progeny were calculated according to the following equation: Reduction = N0 - N1/N0x 100

N0=No. of adults emerged in control.

N1= No. of adults emerged in treatment.

The lethal concentrations of spinetoram were estimated using EPA probit analysis program (version1.5).

The residual toxicity test: The residual toxicity of spinetoram insecticide at 10ppmwas investigated against the adults of above insect species for 6 months, 30 adult insects were introduced to 50g of the treated or untreated samples that were taken monthly from wheat lots stored at  $26 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C and  $60 \pm 5$  RH and adults mortality was recorded after 7 days of exposure for the insecticide.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSIONS**

Effect of spinetoram:Results concerning effects of spinetoramon mortalities and reduction in F1-progeny of *S. oryzae,R. dominica* and *T. castaneum* illustrated in Tables (1). Data revealed that, adult mortalities were increased with the rise of concentration and exposure time.

In case of *S. oryzae*, spinetoramat 10 ppmgave approximately complete mortality (95.6%) after 14 days from treatment, while only 25.6% mortality was achieved at the lowest concentration (0.313ppm).

Reduction rates of F1-progeny at all tested concentrations were higher than mortality percentages and ranged between 26.1 - 96.5% at various concentrations, approximately complete inhibition of F1-progeny of *S. oryzae*adults (96.5%) was achieved at the highest concentration.

Results of *R. dominica*indicated that, average adult mortality reached (100.0%) after 7 days exposure at 10ppm concentration and at all concentrationsafter 14 daysexposure with exception of 0.625 and 0.313 ppm.

After 5 days, mortalities were 82.2, 74.4, 56.7, 45.5, 36.7 and 33.3% at 10, 5, 2.5, 1.25, 0.625 and 0.313 ppm, respectively. Reduction rates of F1progeny of *R. dominica*were high at all concentrations and ranged between 96.0-100.0% at various concentrations. A complete inhibition in F1-progeny was achieved at the three highest concentrations.

*T. castaneum* adults were relatively less susceptibility to spinetoramcompared with the others species tested. Adult mortality reached 71.1 % at the highest concentration 10 ppm and only 45.6 % at 5ppm after 14 days of exposure.

| Concent-<br>ration<br>(ppm) | nt-<br>2 3 5 7 14 |            |           |            |            | No.of<br>F1- progeny<br>after<br>75 days | %<br>Reduction<br>in<br>F1- progeny |  |  |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| S. oryzae                   |                   |            |           |            |            |  |                                     |  |  |
| 10                          | 14.4 ±4.2         | 22.2 ± 4.2 | 78.9 ±4.2 | 87.8 ±4.2  | 95.6 ±1.6  | 14.3±2.5                                 | 96.5                                |  |  |
| 5                           | 3.3 ±2.7          | 11.1±4.2   | 63.3±5.4  | 72.2±4.2   | 85.6 ±4.2  | 24.7±4.5                                 | 93.9                                |  |  |
| 2.5                         | 0.0 ±0.0          | 4.4±1.6    | 28.9 ±4.2 | 47.7±4.2   | 68.9 ±5.7  | 33.3±8.5                                 | 91.8                                |  |  |
| 1.25                        | 0.0 ±0.0          | 1.1±1.6    | 12.2 ±3.1 | 30.0 ±5.4  | 51.1 ±6.9  | 63.3±6.2                                 | 84.4                                |  |  |
| 0.625                       | 0.0 ±0.0          | 0.0±0.0    | 4.4±1.6   | 13.3 ±5.4  | 46.7 ±8.2  | 139.0±5.4                                | 65.7                                |  |  |
| 0.313                       | 0.0 ±0.0          | 0.0±0.0    | 1.1±1.6   | 11.1 ±3.1  | 25.6 ±4.2  | 299.7±6.6                                | 26.1                                |  |  |
| Control                     | 0.0 ±0.0          | 0.0±0.0    | 0.0±0.0   | 0.0 ±0.0   | 0.0±0.0    | 405.3±12.3                               | -                                   |  |  |
|                             |                   |            | R.        | dominica   |            |  |                                     |  |  |
| 10                          | 20.0 ±2.7         | 27.8 ±1.6  | 82.2 ±3.1 | 100.0 ±0.0 | 100.0 ±0.0 | 0.0±0.0                                  | 100.0                               |  |  |
| 5                           | 17.8 ±1.6         | 20.0 ±2.7  | 74.4 ±4.2 | 92.2 ±3.1  | 100.0 ±0.0 | 0.0±0.0                                  | 100.0                               |  |  |
| 2.5                         | 8.9 ±3.1          | 12.2 ±4.2  | 56.7 ±2.7 | 80.0 ±5.5  | 100.0 ±0.0 | 0.0±0.0                                  | 100.0                               |  |  |
| 1.25                        | 5.5 ±3.2          | 8.9 ±4.2   | 45.5 ±3.2 | 63.3 ±5.4  | 100.0 ±0.0 | 2.0±1.2                                  | 98.4                                |  |  |
| 0.625                       | 2.2 ±1.6          | 5.5 ±1.6   | 36.7 ±5.4 | 56.7 ±2.7  | 97.8 ±1.6  | 3.3±1.2                                  | 97.6                                |  |  |
| 0.313                       | 0.0 ±0.0          | 2.2 ±1.6   | 33.3 ±2.7 | 47.7 ±4.2  | 95.5 ±1.6  | 5.0±0.8                                  | 96.0                                |  |  |
| Control                     | 0.0 ±0.0          | 0.0 ±0.0   | 0.0 ±0.0  | 0.0 ±0.0   | 0.0 ±0.0   | 125.0±4.1                                | -                                   |  |  |
|                             |                   |            | Т. с      | astaneum   |            |  |                                     |  |  |
| 10                          | 2.2 ±1.6          | 12.2 ±1.6  | 25.5 ±3.2 | 38.9 ±4.2  | 71.1 ±4.2  | 25.0±4.1                                 | 73.1                                |  |  |
| 5                           | 1.1 ±1.5          | 2.2 ±1.6   | 10.0 ±2.7 | 21.1 ±4.2  | 45.6 ±4.2  | 43.3±2.4                                 | 53.4                                |  |  |
| 2.5                         | 0.0 ±0.0          | 1.1 ±1.5   | 1.1 ±1.5  | 4.4 ±1.6   | 27.8 ±4.2  | 65.0 <del>±</del> 4.1                    | 30.1                                |  |  |
| 1.25                        | 0.0 ±0.0          | 0.0 ±0.0   | 1.1 ±1.5  | 3.3 ±2.7   | 8.9 ±4.2   | 77.3±2.1                                 | 16.9                                |  |  |
| 0.625                       | 0.0 ±0.0          | 0.0 ±0.0   | 0.0 ±0.0  | 1.1 ±1.5   | 2.2 ±1.5   | 81.7±2.4                                 | 12.2                                |  |  |
| 0.313                       | 0.0 ±0.0          | 0.0 ±0.0   | 0.0 ±0.0  | 0.0 ±0.0   | 1.10±1.5   | 91.7±2.4                                 | 1.4                                 |  |  |
| Control                     | 0.0 ±0.0          | 0.0 ±0.0   | 0.0 ±0.0  | 0.0 ±0.0   | 0.0 ±0.0   | 93.0 ±5.9                                | -                                   |  |  |

Table (1): Effect of spinetoram on mortalities and reduction in F<sub>1</sub>-progeny of three stored product insects.

S.D.= Standard deviation.

Reduction rates of F1-progeny were higher than mortality percentages, and ranged between 1.4 -73.1% at various concentrations.

The lethal concentrations of spinetoram are shown in Table (2) the mean values of  $LC_{50}$ ,  $LC_{90}$ ,  $LC_{95}$  and  $LC_{99}$  after 7 days exposure were

2.5, 11.8, 18.4 and 42.1; 0.4, 5.9, 12.3 and 48.9 and 13.9, 64.1, 98.8 and 222.1 ppm for *S. oryzae*, *R. dominica*and*T. castaneum*, resp.

The obtained data revealed clearly that adults of *R. dominica* were highly susceptible to spinetoramfollowed by *S. oryzae*and *T. castaneum* adults which were the least susceptible to the insecticide.

In this respect, Vassilakos*etal.*, (2012)studied the efficacy of spinetoramagainst six stored grain insects, *S. oryzae*, *R. dominica*, *Prostephanus truncates*, *T. confusum*, *S. granarius and Oryzaephilus surinamensis*.Data revealed that, spinetoramis effective as a grain protectant, but its efficacy varies according to the target species, concentration and exposure intervals.*P. truncates* and *R. dominica* were by far the most susceptible, given that was close to 100% after 7 days on wheat treated or maize with 0.1% ppm of spinetoram and negligible number of F1- progeny. On the other hand, *T. confusum* was the least susceptible; mortality reached

#### J. Plant Prot. and Path., Mansoura Univ., Vol.6 (7), july, 2015

95% only at 10 ppm after 14 days of exposure. Similarly, O. surinamensis was of limited susceptibility to spinetoram; only 95% mortality was achieved after 14 days of exposure on wheat treated with 5ppm. Nevertheless, offspring emergence of these species was extremely low. ForS. granarius and S. oryzae, a complete mortality (100%) mortality was recorded after 14 daysof exposure at 0.5 and 1 ppm, resp. At these concentrations or higher, progeny production was notably reduced. Table

| Insect<br>Species | Lethal concentrations (ppm) and their 95% confidence limits |                      |                       |                         |           |  |
|-------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------|--|
| Species           | LC <sub>50</sub>  | LC <sub>90</sub>     | LC <sub>95</sub>      | LC <sub>99</sub>        | S.E       |  |
| S. oryzae         | 2.5<br>(2.0 - 3.0)  | 11.8<br>(8.8-18.4)   | 18.4<br>(12.8 - 32.4) | 42.1<br>(25.2 -94.5)    | 1.9 ±0.24 |  |
| R. dominica       | 0.4<br>(0.3 - 0.6)  | 5.9<br>(2.8 - 11.9)  | 12.3<br>(6.9 - 32.3)  | 48.9<br>(20.9 -211.9)   | 1.1 ±0.15 |  |
| T. castaneum      | 13.9<br>(10.5-22.7)   | 64.1<br>(35.0-193.0) | 98.8<br>(49.0-356.3)  | 222.1<br>(91.8 -1127.6) | 1.9 ±0.03 |  |

| le (2): Lethal | concentrations of spinetoram to the adults of the three |  |
|----------------|---|--|
| insect         | species at 7days exposure period.                       |  |

E = Standard error of regression line.

### 3- Residual toxicity of spinetoram to the adults of the three tested insects:

Data of the residual toxicity of spinetoramto the adults of the three tested insects are given in Table (3). The results showed that, the initial concentration gave 95.3,100.0 and 71.0 % kill for S. oryzae, R. dominica and T. castaneum, resp. these mortality levels remained stable to2 months for T. castaneum and 3 monthsforS. oryzae and R. dominicathen reduced gradually with the increase of the storage period to reach about 47.8.72.2 and 25.6 % after 6 monthsfor aforementioned insect species, resp. residual effect of spinetoramat 10 ppm wasextended to 6 months for the adults of R. dominica with the lowest values of reduction rate in mortality (27.8 %) when compared with other tested insect species(Fig.1).In the study conducted by(Vassilakos, etal., 2014), results showed that mortality levels of S. oryzae, R. dominica and T. confusum remained stable for the whole 8-month testing period and the residue analysis results showed that spinetoram was stable throughout theentire experimental period (8 months), without a noticeable degradation with time.

Also, the obtained results during this study are in harmony with the findings of other investigators (Vassilakos and Athanassiou 2013; Athanassiou and Kavallieratos, 2014).

The achieved results indicated that spintoram is effective against main stored product insect species infest wheat grains during storage, but its efficacy varies according to the insect species, concentration and exposure interval.

Further researches are required to study the combination effect of spinetoram with other insecticides for control OP-resistance insect species such as R. dominica.

| Time                 | % adult mortality after 7 days from exposure ± S.D.and<br>reduction rate in mortality at various intervals<br>( months) |       |             |          |             |          | Control |
|----------------------|---|-------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|---------|
| month                | S. oryzae   | R (%) | R. dominica | R<br>(%) | T.castaneum | R<br>(%) | Control |
| 0                    | 95.3±1.2  | 0.0   | 100.0±0.0   | 0.0      | 71.0±2.9    | 0.0      | 0.0     |
| 1                    | 95.0±1.6  | 0.3   | 100.0±0.0   | 0.0      | 70.0±0.8    | 1.4      | 0.0     |
| 2                    | 94.3±1.2  | 1.0   | 100.0±0.0   | 0.0      | 68.7±1.7    | 3.2      | 0.0     |
| 3                    | 93.2±3.1  | 2.2   | 100.0±0.0   | 0.0      | 56.4±5.4    | 20.6     | 0.0     |
| 4                    | 82.7±2.1  | 13.2  | 95.6±1.6    | 4.4      | 47.8±4.2    | 32.7     | 0.0     |
| 5                    | 63.3±5.4  | 33.6  | 85.7±2.1    | 14.3     | 30.0±5.4    | 57.7     | 0.0     |
| 6<br>6 <b>6</b> 64 a | 47.8±4.2  | 49.8  | 72.2±4.2    | 27.8     | 25.6±4.2    | 63.9     | 0.0     |

Table(3):Residual toxicity of spinetoram at 10 ppm to the three insect species

S.D.= Standard deviation R= Reduction rate in mortality.




Fig.(1):Residual toxicity of spinetoram at 10 ppm to the three insect species

# REFFERENCES

Abbott, W.S. (1925): A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticides. J. Econ. Ent., 18: 265-269.

Arthur, F.H. (1996): Grain protectants, Current status and prospects for the future.J. of Stored Prod.Res.32,293-303.

- Athanassiou, C.G., Kavallieratos, N.G. (2014): Evaluation of spinetoram and spinosad for control of *Prostephanustruncatus*, *Rhyzoperthadominica*, *Sitophilusoryzae*, and *Triboliumconfusum* on stored grains under laboratory tests. J. of pest science, Volume 87, Issue 3, pp 469-483.
- Dripps, J.E., Boucher, R.E., Chloridis, A., Cleveland, C.B., DeAmicis, C.V., Gomez, L.E., Paroonagian, D.L., Pavan, L.A., Sparks,T.C. and Watson, G.B. (2011): The spinosyn insecticides. In Lopez, O., Fernandes – Bolanos, J.G.(Eds.), Green Trends in insect control. Royal society of chemistry, Cambridge, UK.pp.163-212.
- El-Lakwah, F.M., Saleh, M.K.I., Abd El-Aziz, E.A. and Nasr, M.E.H. (2004): Studies on persistence and toxicity of two organophosphorus insecticides and plant extracts to stored product insects. Thesis Fac.ofAgric. Moshtohor,BanhaUniv.,A.R.E.
- Guedes, R.N.C., Dover, B.A. and Kambhampati, S. (1996): Resistance to chlopyriphos methyl, pirimiphos -methyl and malathion in Brazilian and US populations of *Rhyzoperthadominica* (Coleoptera: Bostrichichidae). J. of Econ. Entom., 89: 27-32.
- Mertz, E.P. and R.C., Yao(1990): Saccharopolyspora spinosa sp. Nov. isolated from soil collected in sugar rum still. Internal F. Sust.Bacterial. 40: 34-39.
- Nayak, M.K., Daglish, G.J. and Byrne, V.S. (2005): Effectiveness of spinosad as a grain protectant against resistant beetle and psocid pests of stored grain in Australia. J. of stored products Res., 41:455-467.
- Salgado V.L., (1998): Studies on the mode of action of spinosad: insect
- symptoms and physiological correlates. Pesticides Biochemical and physiology. 60, 91-102.
- Salgado V.L., (1998): The mode of action of spinosad and other control products. Pages 35-43 in Down to Earth.
- SubramanyamBh., J.J. Nelsonand L. Fang (2002): Evaluation of spinosad against eight stored product insect species on three classes of wheat. J. stored prod. Res.
- Vassilakos, T.N. and Athanassiou, C.G. (2012): Effect of short exposures to spinetoram against three stored-product beetle. J. of Econ.Entomol. 105 (3)1088-1094.
- Vassilakos, T.N. and Athanassiou, C.G. (2012): Effect of temperature and relative humidity on spinetoram for control three stored product beetle species.J. of stored products Res., 55:73-77.
- Vassilakos, T.N., Athanassiou, C.G. and Tsiropoulosb, N.G. (2014): Persistence and efficacy of spinetoram against three major stored grain beetle on wheat. J. of Crop Protection, 69: 44–51.
- Vassilakos, T.N., Athanassiou, C.G., Saglam,O., Chloridis,A.S. and Dripps, J.E. (2012):Insecticidal effect of spinetoram against six major stored grain insect species. J. of stored products Res., 51:69 -73.
- White, N.D.G. and Leesch, j.G. (1995): Chemical control in Subramanyam, B., Hagstrum, D.W. (Eds.), Integrated Management of insects in Stored products, Marcel Dekker, New York PP. 287-330.

فعالية وبقاء مبيد spinetoram ضد ثلاثة من حشرات المواد المخزونة محروس السيد حسن نصر معهد بحوث وقاية النباتات –مركز البحوث الزراعية – الدقى - مصر

تم تقييم فعالية مبيد spinetoram وكذلك سمية الأثر المتبقلهمعملياً على درجة حرارة ٢٦ ± ٢٢م ورطوبة نسبية ٦٥ ± ٥% ضد ثلاثة أنواع من الحشرات التي تصيب المواد المخزونة وهي سوسة الأرز وثاقبة الحبوب الصغرى وخنفساء الدقيق الكستنائية وقد أوضحت النتائج أن سمية المبيد تتوقف على التركيز ومدة التعريض. وأز داد التأثير السام للمبيد بزيادة التركيز ومدة التعريض. واختلفت حساسية الحشرات من نوع لآخر حيث وجد أن الحشرات الكاملة لثاقبة الحبوب الصغرى أكثر الأنواع الحشرية حساسية للمبيد وذلك لموت كل الحشرات الكاملة لثاقبة الحبوب الصغرى أكثر الأنواع الحشرية حساسية للمبيد وذلك لموت كل الحشرات الكاملة بعد ٧ أيام فقط من التعريض لتركيز ١٠ جزء في المليون ، يليها سوسة الأرز حيث تم الحصول على نسبة موت ١٠٠% بعد ١٤ يوم من التعريض على نفس التركيزوكانت خنفساء الدقيق الكستنائيةأقل الأنواع المختبرة حساسية للمبيد ،حيث وجد أن أعلى تركيز لم يكن كافياللحصول على موت كامل لجميع الحشرات الكاملة لخنفساء الدقيق الكستنائية حتى مع أطول فترة تعريض (١٤ يوم).

كما أوضحت النتائج حدوث انخفاض تام في تعدادحشرات الجيل الأول لثاقبة الحبوب الصغرى على التركيزات الثلاثة العالية(١٠، ٥، ٥، ٢جزء في المليون)، بينما كان معدل الانخفاض في تعداد الجيل الأول لحشرتي سوسة الأرز وخنفساء الدقيق الكستنائيةهو (٧٣,١،٩٦,٥)على التوالي وذلك عند أعلى تركيز (١٠جزء في المليون).

وأظهرت نتائج الأثر الباقى للمبيد spinetoram أن أعلى تركيز عند بداية المعاملة قد أعطى نسب موت (٣،٠٠,٠، ٣،١٠٠, ٩٥%) لحشرة سوسة الأرز، ثاقبة الحبوب الصغرى، وخنفساء الدقيق الكستنائية على التوالى، وظلت نسب ثابتة لمدة شهرين ضدخنفساء الدقيق الكستنائية وثلاثة شهور لحشرتى سوسة الأرز، ثاقبة الحبوب الصغرى ثم أخذت هذه النسب فى الانخفاض تدريجيا بزيادة فترة التخزين، وكانت سمية الأثر المتبقى من المبيد عالية على حشرة ثاقبة الحبوب الصغرى واستمر ذلك لمدة ٦ شهور.